

From Rivalry to Alliance: Shaping the Future of U.S.-China Space Exploration

Andreea Mosila

American Public University

ABSTRACT

The space exploration initiatives of the United States and China illustrate the intricate dynamics of competitive interaction and emerging opportunities for collaboration, shaped by deep-rooted geopolitical tensions and a continuous quest for technological dominance. This evolving relationship significantly impacts the global landscape of space governance, as both nations seek to consolidate influence through ambitious projects such as lunar and Martian missions. This paper critically examines the strategic, technological, and diplomatic ramifications of U.S.-China relations in space, evaluating the historical evolution of both countries' space programs, their current strategic orientations, and prospective cooperative avenues, exemplified by frameworks like the Artemis Accords. Additionally, it explores the legal, economic, and security considerations guiding space policies, providing in-depth case studies of competition and cooperation in space missions. By offering policy recommendations, this analysis proposes strategies that foster mutual understanding and joint advancements, promoting cooperative achievements beneficial to the global community.

Keywords: spacefaring, China, Artemis Accords, geopolitics, space security, NASA

De la rivalidad a la alianza: cómo se configurará el futuro de la exploración espacial entre Estados Unidos y China

RESUMEN

Las iniciativas de exploración espacial de Estados Unidos y China ilustran la intrincada dinámica de la interacción competitiva y las emergentes oportunidades de colaboración, condicionadas por profundas tensiones geopolíticas y una búsqueda continua de dominio tecnológico. Esta relación en evolución impacta significativamente el panorama global de la gobernanza espacial, ya que ambas naciones buscan consolidar su influencia mediante proyec-

tos ambiciosos como misiones lunares y marcianas. Este artículo examina críticamente las ramificaciones estratégicas, tecnológicas y diplomáticas de las relaciones entre Estados Unidos y China en el espacio, evaluando la evolución histórica de los programas espaciales de ambos países, sus orientaciones estratégicas actuales y las posibles vías de cooperación, ejemplificadas por marcos como los Acuerdos Artemis. Además, explora las consideraciones legales, económicas y de seguridad que guían las políticas espaciales, presentando estudios de caso exhaustivos sobre competencia y cooperación en misiones espaciales. Al ofrecer recomendaciones políticas, este análisis propone estrategias que fomentan el entendimiento mutuo y los avances conjuntos, promoviendo logros cooperativos beneficiosos para la comunidad global.

Palabras clave: viajes espaciales, China, Acuerdos Artemis, geopolítica, seguridad espacial, NASA

从竞争到结盟：塑造中美太空探索的未来

摘要

美国和中国的太空探索计划阐明了竞争互动的复杂动态和新兴合作机会，这些动态和机会受到根深蒂固的地缘政治紧张局势和对技术主导地位的持续追求的影响。这种不断发展的关系对全球太空治理格局产生了重大影响，因为两国都试图通过月球和火星任务等雄心勃勃的项目来巩固影响力。本文批判分析了中美太空关系在战略、技术和外交方面的影响，评价了两国太空计划的历史演变、当前的战略方向和未来的合作途径，以《阿尔忒弥斯协定》等框架为例。此外，本文还探究了一系列指导太空政策的法律、经济和安全考虑因素，提供了关于太空任务竞争与合作的深度案例研究。通过提供政策建议，本文提出了促进相互理解和共同进步的战略，以促进有利于全球社会的合作成果。

关键词：航天活动，中国，《阿尔忒弥斯协定》，地缘政治，太空安全，美国国家航空航天局

The landscape of U.S.-China relations in space exploration is marked by an intricate balance of competition tempered by cautious engagement. Both nations actively pur-

sue ambitious space programs to attain significant scientific and strategic milestones, such as lunar landings and Mars missions, with their respective approaches reflecting broader geopolit-

ical tensions and an unwavering commitment to technological preeminence (Morin & Tepper, 2023, p. 1). While the United States, with its longstanding presence in space and recent initiatives such as the Artemis Accords, remains a global leader in space technology and multilateral collaboration, China has rapidly expanded its capabilities. Notable achievements such as the Chang'e lunar missions and the development of the Tiangong space station position China as a formidable entity in the global space arena (Goswami, 2023, p. 3). These contrasting yet parallel trajectories contribute to a competitive but interdependent environment where selective collaborative endeavors could yield mutual benefits.

Navigating this blend of rivalry and prospective alliances is vital to advancing the strategic interests of both nations and safeguarding broader global interests. While competition fosters rapid technological advancements and engenders national pride (Pollpeter et al., 2019, p. 28), it can also lead to redundant efforts and escalate risks of conflict. In contrast, cooperation promises shared successes, resource efficiencies, and the development of international norms that promote peaceful utilization of outer space. Effectively managing this relationship demands strategic diplomacy, robust policy structures, and a shift towards mutual benefit rather than zero-sum outcomes.

The history of U.S. and Chinese space exploration reflects divergent paths shaped by distinct political, scientific, and technological imperatives. Since the establishment of NASA in

1958, the United States has achieved groundbreaking accomplishments, including the Apollo moon landings and the Space Shuttle program, establishing itself as a technological leader in space exploration. In comparison, China, through the China National Space Administration (CNSA) founded in 1993, has taken impressive strides in a short time, highlighted by the Shenzhou manned missions, the Chang'e lunar explorations, and the Tiangong space station—each a testament to China's ascent as a global space power (Zhang, 2021, p. 7).

Although initially propelled by nationalistic ambitions and Cold War rivalries, space exploration has increasingly come to reflect a need for international cooperation due to its immense costs, technological demands, and shared scientific goals. In recent years, diplomatic discussions on space traffic management and data-sharing agreements underscore a recognition of the potential benefits of cooperative engagements. Strategically, these interactions carry profound implications for international security. As space-based capabilities become integral to national defense, encompassing satellite reconnaissance, communications, and navigation, the domain of outer space emerges as a new frontier for projecting global power. This reality heightens competitive posturing while offering openings for diplomacy and joint policymaking in space governance (Pollpeter et al., 2019, p. 7).

The competitive drive in space has catalyzed remarkable technological innovations, advancing the limits of

space exploration. The United States has made notable advancements in rocketry, with reusable launch vehicles from SpaceX and NASA's deep-space navigation technologies. At the same time, China has achieved breakthroughs with the Long March rocket series and lunar missions like the Chang'e program, including the pioneering far-side landing (Bowe, 2019, p. 6). These achievements underscore the competitive motivations of both nations while highlighting their strategic ambitions in space. Although such competition could stimulate further progress, it also risks fracturing global efforts and exacerbating tensions. Conversely, collaborative projects might establish standardized norms for space utilization and governance frameworks, ultimately enhancing the global space environment (Pollpeter et al., 2019, p. 10).

Despite the current adversarial climate, a U.S.-China alliance in space exploration could yield significant advancements in dual-use technologies benefiting humanity. For instance, joint ventures in space habitat development could combine expertise in life support systems and sustainable space infrastructure. Furthermore, this cooperation could extend beyond space exploration, positively influencing broader diplomatic relations by reducing friction in other contested areas, such as trade and cybersecurity. While direct collaboration between the U.S. and China in space remains constrained by policy barriers, collaborative efforts may be feasible in non-sensitive areas, including climate science and asteroid impact prevention. Here, both nations could

capitalize on their respective strengths, China's advanced satellite technology and the U.S.'s expertise in climate modeling. The Artemis Accords offer an essential framework for structuring interactions between these two spacefaring powers, advocating for transparency, interoperability, and peaceful objectives (Riordan et al., 2023, p. 381). Aligning around these principles could facilitate a gradual transition from competition to cooperation, reducing conflict risks and fostering mutual understanding within the increasingly congested space domain.

Participation in the Artemis Accords also provides strategic benefits by cultivating a collaborative environment conducive to shared achievements in space. For the U.S. and China, such a framework supports cost-effective missions, pooling of resources, and combined expertise, which are invaluable for ambitious goals like Mars exploration and asteroid mining (Riordan et al., 2023, p. 387). Advocating for policy revisions to facilitate involvement in the Accords would emphasize the enduring benefits of cooperation over competition, underscoring how collaborative efforts could extend both nations' influence and capabilities within the global space community.

International space law, structured primarily by treaties like the 1967 Outer Space Treaty, lays a foundation for space activities by promoting peaceful use for the benefit of all humankind (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs, 1967). However, U.S.-China relations in space are complicated by na-

tional legislation, notably the U.S. Wolf Amendment, which restricts NASA and the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) from engaging with Chinese entities in bilateral agreements (Morin & Tepper, 2023, p. 7). This legal framework defines the scope of permissible engagement, necessitating a careful balance between international treaties and national policies.

As space exploration evolves with an influx of private entities, there is an increasing need to modernize international agreements to address contemporary realities, particularly the expanding space capabilities of nations like China. Areas for potential updates or new agreements could encompass responsible behavior norms to prevent conflicts, space traffic management protocols to mitigate launch-related risks, and cooperative frameworks for planetary defense (Riordan et al., 2023, p. 381). Additional emergency response and data-sharing protocols could further strengthen collaborative avenues, facilitating deeper cooperation between the U.S. and China. These modifications could be integrated into current treaties or established through new agreements that reflect technological advancements and shifting geopolitical dynamics.

The economic ramifications of space exploration, whether competitive or cooperative, are substantial. Competitive exploration drives technological innovation and growth within the aerospace industry, boosting economies while leading to inefficiencies

from duplicated efforts (Pollpeter et al., 2019, p. 51). In contrast, cooperative exploration optimizes resources through shared responsibilities, enhancing financial, technological, and human resource efficiency. This approach fosters economic stability, stimulates global markets, and creates opportunities for trade and investment in space technologies. Security considerations in space are deeply entwined with the broader international power equilibrium. Competitive actions, such as anti-satellite weapon development, risk heightening tensions and triggering an arms race in space, compromising global security (Goswami, 2023, p. 7). However, cooperative initiatives could mitigate these risks by fostering trust and establishing norms for transparency and reliability among participating nations, contributing to a stable space environment that reinforces global strategic stability.

The U.S. and China might explore joint scientific research or shared missions as foundational trust-building steps to build a more cooperative space relationship. Efforts could target mutual-interest areas and less sensitive technologies, such as space-based climate monitoring or asteroid detection systems. Additionally, enhancing dialogue through international forums like the United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS) could help both nations align their objectives and promote mutual understanding of their respective space policies.

References

- Bowe, A. (2019). China's pursuit of space power status and implications for the United States. United States–China Economic and Security Review Commission. Retrieved from [https://www.uscc.gov/sites/default/files/Research/USCC_China's Space Power Goals.pdf](https://www.uscc.gov/sites/default/files/Research/USCC_China's_Space_Power_Goals.pdf)
- Goswami, N. (2023). China's space ambitions: Drivers, goals, and capabilities. *Space Policy Review*. American Foreign Policy Council. Retrieved from <https://www.afpc.org/publications/policy-papers/chinas-space-ambitions>
- Morin, J.-F., & Tepper, E. (2023). The empire strikes back: Comparing US and China's structural power in outer space. *Global Studies Quarterly*, 3(4), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1093/isagsq/ksad067>
- Pollpeter, K., Ditter, T., Miller, A., & Waidelich, B. (2019). China's space narrative. *Journal of Air University Studies*, 45(1), 45–65. Retrieved from <https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/Journal>
- Riordan, N., Machoň, M., & Csajková, L. (2023). Space diplomacy and the Artemis Accords. *The Hague Journal of Diplomacy*, 18(2–3), 380–408. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1871191x-bja10151>
- United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs. (1967). Treaty on principles governing the activities of states in the exploration and use of outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies. Retrieved from <https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/spacelaw/treaties/outerspacetreaty.html>
- Zhang, Z. (2021). Space science in China: A historical perspective on Chinese policy 1957–2020 and policy implications. *Space Policy*, 58, 101449.